

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,110	02/05/2002	Noubar B. Afeyan	FLG-005	9343
51414 7590 01/03/2007 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP PATENT ADMINISTRATOR EXCHANGE PLACE BOSTON, MA 02109-2881			EXAMINER	
			WEISBERGER, RICHARD C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001011, 1.1110210, 2001		•	3693	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAVS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	. Applicant(s)	
	09/914,110	AFEYAN, NOUBAR B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard C Weisberger	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4/29</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-67 are subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction. 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3693

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

- I. Claims 1-29 are, drawn to a method of selling units of a product through formation of a virtual purchasing collective that permits consumers to buy at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by bulk purchasers of the product, or provides to consumers an opportunity to purchase a product that otherwise may not be available to them, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- II. Claims 30 is, drawn a method of selling units of a product which permits consumers to buy at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by bulk purchasers of the product, or provides to consumers an opportunity to purchase a product which otherwise may not be available to them, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- III. Claims 31-42 and 65-67 are a method and system for permitting prospective buyers of smaller numbers of units of a product to purchase the units at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by purchasers of larger numbers of units of the product, or for providing to prospective buyers an opportunity to purchase a product which otherwise may not be available to them, the system comprising:, classified in class, 705 subclass 37
- IV. Claims 43-48, drawn to an internet-accessible site for facilitating purchase by consumers of a small number of units of a product at a price per unit competitive with the price paid by purchasers of larger numbers of units of

the product, or for providing to consumers an opportunity to purchase a product which otherwise may not be available to them, the site being effective to implement the consumer's participation in a virtual purchasing collective, classified in class 705 subclass 37.

- V. Claims 49-64, drawn to an electronic brokerage method of trading securities between a third party offeror of one or more large blocks of shares of the securities and a consumer of smaller numbers of shares at a price per share to the consumer competitive with the price paid by bulk purchasers of the securities, or provides the consumer with an opportunity to purchase securities which otherwise may not be available to it, the method, classified in class 705, subclass 37.
- 1. Inventions I and II are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.
- 2. Inventions I and III are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of

Art Unit: 3693

operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.

- 3. Inventions I and IV are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.
- 4. Inventions I and V are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions of claim II has a different effect as set forth above.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species a': species a' are drawn to methods of posting, the individual posting species are described in claims 2,3,4,26, and 28. The species are independent or distinct

Art Unit: 3693

because they have different effects. For example compare the posting contents of claims 2 and 28.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species b'; species b' are drawn to various types of "units" as describes in claims 21,22,23,24,25, and 29. The species are independent or distinct because the units are different effects. For example compare a unit of furniture and a ticket.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species c': species c' are described in claims 11,12,13,14,18 and comprise various embodiments of claim 1, step d. The species are independent or distinct because each of the embodiments have different effects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 3693

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species d', species d' comprise various additional mutually exclusive components and are described in claims 15,16,2, and 27. The species are independent or distinct because the components have different effects as can be immediately gleamed from their description.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3693

Page 8

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Respectfully,

By,

Richard Weisberger 571 272 6753